









Fast-C[®] Profile

Fast-C[®] is a premium form of Vitamin C developed to exhibit superior bioavailability without the stomach upset of pure ascorbic acid or "buffered" Vitamin C compositions.

- Ultra tolerable/rapid buffering
- Patented+ exclusive license (Bioperine[®])
- Clinical research validated: crossover comparator trials
- Uniform, directly compressible granules faster tableting!
- GMO-free, NO animal products or synthetic agents
- Kosher certified







Fast-C[®]: Competitive Landscape

- Ester-C[®]
 - Market dominator
 - Premium Vitamin C market in flux due to NBTY buy-out
 - Original (active) patent expired (April '07)
 - Evidence based of superiority in humans is thin. Two published clinical trials showing:
 - Slightly *inferior* bioavailability compared to ascorbic acid or ascorbic acid + flavonoids
 - One published clinical showing greater tolerability (relative to ascorbic acid) but bioavailability was NOT assessed







Fast-C[®]: Competitive Landscape

Ester-C[®] - Absorption & Retention Issues

Table

Areas under the plasma vitamin C time-concentration curves, 24-hour urinary excretion of vitamin C, and ratios of area to urine^a

Type of vitamin C	Area (arbritary units)	Urinary excretion (mmol/24 hr)	Ratio of area to urine
Ascorbic acid	253 ± 20 ^b	1.16±0.16	253±40
Ester-C	214±18	1.48± 0.28	188± 37
Ascorbic acid with bioflavonoids	259±19	1.20±0.18	252±37
Placebo	11 ± 9*	0.03±0.02*	

^aAfter ingestion of 500 mg synthetic vitamin C as ascorbic acid, ascorbic acid from Ester-C (Inter-Cal Corp, Prescott, Ariz), ascorbic acid with bioflavonoids, or a placebo.
^bMean ± standard error.

*Significantly different from all other values; P<.05.

- J Am Diet Assn, 1994







Fast-C[®]: Clinical Trials

- Two clinical trials initiated in 2007
 - First study Fast-C[®] vs. Ester-C[®]: completed
 - Second study Fast-C[®] (dose escalation) vs Ester-C[®]: by late 2008
- Both studies are led by expert clinical investigators and executed by certified research professionals
 - Conducted in compliance with current Good Clinical Practices (cGCP)
 - Conducted by certified pharmaceutical research center in USA







Fast-C[®]: Clinical Data

- Study 1: Proof of Concept Clinical Trial (Study 1)
 - Fast-C[®] vs Ester-C[®]: 1 gram of ascorbic acid
 - Prospective, comparator, double-blind, randomized, crossover trial
 - Five, healthy, non-smoking males (32 years avg.; 30.1 BMI avg)
- Outcome measures
 - 4 hour pharmacokinetics (HPLC)
 - 24 hour urine ascorbate (HPLC)







Vitamin C Change From Baseline



Error-bars represent ± 1 Standard Error of the Mean







Faster Rise Yet Equal Urinary Losses

- Tmax (time to achieve peak blood vitamin C concentration):
 - Fast-C[®]: 180 minutes vs. Ester-C[®]: 216 minutes (p-0.346)
- AUC (4 hr.):
 - Fast-C[®]: 1,813 vs Ester-C[®]: 1,334 (35% greater; p=0.319)
- No difference in 24 hours urinary ascorbic acid excretion
- Preliminary interpretation: Fast-C[®] appears to deliver Vitamin C faster and to a greater extent than Ester-C[®] and exhibits equal apparent retention of the delivered dose
- Presented at Experimental Biology 2008







Fast-C[®]: Follow Up Study

- Confirmatory, Dose Escalation Clinical Trial
 - Fast-C[®] (Bioperine lower dose) vs. Fast-C[®] (Bioperine higher dose) vs. Ester-C[®]
 - Prospective, comparator, double-blind, randomized, crossover trial
 - Ten health, non-smoking male subjects following a low Vitamin C diet
 - Single, 1 gram dose of AA (from each source)
 - 24 hour urine + 4 hour blood pharmacokinetics
 - cGCP at USA-based pharmaceutical research center

Study target completion date: June 2009









Live life with Vigour.



